

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,467	12/27/2004	Robert Janitzek		2682
26387 W. NORMAN	7590 12/28/2007 POTH		EXAM	INER
523 W. 6TH STREET			PALENIK, JEFFREY T	
SUITE 707	SUITE 707 LOS ANGELES, CA 90014		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGLELS, CA 70014			1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/519,467	JANITZEK, ROBERT			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Jeffrey T. Palenik	1615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the set of the	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	November 2007.				
,	ı) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.				
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ents have been received				
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p					
application from the International Bur		•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies no	t received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application			
S. Patent and Trademark Office		····			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-5, in the reply filed 23 November 2007 is acknowledged. Claims 6 and 7 are cancelled.

Claims 1-5 are presented and represent all of the claims under consideration.

## **Priority**

This application is the National Stage filing of International Patent Application No. PCT/PH03/000006, filed 18 June 2003. Applicant claims priority to Philippines Foreign Application No. 1-2002-000485, filed 27 June 2002. Examiner finds that Applicant's filing meets the priority requirements and determines the earliest effective U.S. filing date to be 27 June 2002.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

No Information Disclosure Statement was filed with this application.

#### Specification

The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(4). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Art Unit: 1615

### Claim Objections

Claims 1 and are objected to because of the following informalities: the word "Carboxymethylstach" is a misspelling. The term should be corrected to read: "Carboxymethylstarch" or "Carboxymethyl starch".

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ochiai et al. (U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2003/0039699).

Ochiai et al. teaches a pharmaceutical preparation using coated, drug granules comprised of a water-soluble drug for the active ingredient. Paragraphs [135] – [137] teach ciprofloxacin hydrochloride as an example of an acceptable water-soluble drug useable in the granular pharmaceutical composition. Paragraph [170] teaches that coated granules formed into tablets, also contain "various additives" such as carboxymethyl starch sodium (i.e. disintegrant), magnesium stearate (i.e. lubricant), and starches (i.e. excipient(s)). Therefore, each and every element of the claim is met by the reference.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,467

Art Unit: 1615

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ochiai et al. (U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2003/0039699).

Ochiai et al. teaches a coated, drug granule pharmaceutical composition, as described above. However, Ochiai does not teach the specific amounts of the four essential ingredients of the composition of the instant claims 2-5. Ochiai does provide that "[t]he concentration of the solution of a water-soluble drug is not particularly limited but it is preferably ... 10% (w/w) to 50% (w/w)" [145]. The preferred compositional percentages of the additives are also taught, but not specified [171]. Since the amount of each ingredient of the pharmaceutical composition is adjustable, it follows that each is a result-effective parameter that a person having ordinary skill in the art would routinely optimize. Optimization of parameters is a routine practice that would be obvious for a

Page 5

Art Unit: 1615

person of ordinary skill in the art to employ. It would have been customary for an artisan of ordinary skill to determine the optimal type and amount of bioerodible polymer to add to the implant formulation in order to best achieve the desired results. Thus, absent some demonstration of unexpected results from the claimed parameters, optimization of any of these ingredient amounts would have been obvious at the time of Applicant's invention.

No claims are allowed.

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey T. Palenik whose telephone number is (571) 270-1966. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am - 5:00 pm; M-F (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Stucker can be reached on (571) 272-0911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-270-2966. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Application/Control Number: 10/519,467

Art Unit: 1615

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeffrey T. Palenik

Patent Examiner

MICHAEL P. WOODWARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600